Childcare Program Options

Assembly Committee of the Whole December 2, 2019



Assembly Goal

- Economic Development
 - 2.D: Complete work of Child care Committee and act on Recommendations



Assembly Child care Taskforce Recommendations

- Identify what, if any public facilities might be used to provide child care
- Establish a revolving loan fund for use in startup and on-going programs - Complete
- In FY20 fund existing child care and early education programs - Complete
- Fund Best Starts model with some structural changes to clearly delineate how the program would prioritize increasing capacity until demand is fulfilled – and direct the Manager to present an implementation plan.



It's an economic problem

- The cost of providing childcare in Juneau exceeds parents' ability to pay.
- Demand exceeds supply, but providers cannot increase tuition enough to offset costs without pricing themselves out of the market.
- Childcare providers have 3 primary costs:
 - Facility space
 - Personnel costs
 - Admin/overhead



It's a workforce problem

- The only consistent ways to keep costs down are to pay lower wages and/or seek a facility that is subsidized (in home care or a space that is provided by another entity).
 - Average hourly wage no facility subsidization : \$11.00
 - Average hourly wage with facility subsidization: \$14.00
- Lower wages mean a lower quality applicant pool and higher turnover.
- Higher turnover means centers spend time training staff on basics rather than building on the basics to achieve a higher skill level.



It's a quality problem

 Less skilled workers translates to children not being as prepared as they should be for kindergarten.

If children enter kindergarten trying to catch up, they generally stay behind academically. This has an overall adverse impact on the quality of instruction for all children in the system.



The greatest need is for infant and toddler care

- Staffing ratios for younger children are greater than older children making providing care to infants and toddlers more expensive.
- The McDowell group estimates that 74% of infants and 47% of toddlers in need of care do not have access to childcare. In contrast, the same report estimates that only 11% of preschoolers don't have access to care.
- There are many, lower cost options available for preschool programs including: Head Start, Kinder Ready, Integrated Preschool, and Cooperative preschools.



Staff Recommended Solution Parameters

- Address facility costs
- Incentivize Infant/Toddler care over pre K
- Require licensing
- Require full time, year round care
- Require a minimum of Level 2 Learn & Grow
- Must be eligible for State childcare grant (assures low income access)
- Not eligible if receiving direct funding from CBJ or federal block grant funds (e.g. Kinder Ready, Headstart, LEARN)
- Be simple to administer
- Provide a workforce development component to assure there is a trained workforce ready, and available to meet employment needs.



What Staff Recommendations have changed since last COW?

Additional discussions with providers resulted in the following changes:

- Eliminated Property Tax exemption
- Eliminated Provider Stipend Option in a favor of a simplified per child model
- Eliminated Quality Coach



Capacity and Staffing Projections*

Economic Models were built on the following projections:

Projections	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25
Capacity	487	587	687	787	887
Staffing	98.9	123.7	148.4	173.2	197.9

- Capacity: Each year one additional childcare center serving 60 children, one group home serving 12 children, and three home care centers serving 24 children (8 in each home).
- Staffing: In order to meet an increased capacity of 100 children per year, an additional 25 childcare workers per year would be needed to be added to the workforce.

^{*}Best starts has a slightly different capacity model but not significantly so.



Potential Facility Solutions

Facility Offsets	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25
CBJ Controlled space					
FDMS Modular (60)	\$ 150,000				
MRCS Modular (40)		\$ 110,000			
Mt. Jumbo Gym (60)					
	\$ 150,000	\$ 110,000			
Facility Grants	\$ 25,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 125,000
# of new centers per year	1	2	3	4	5
Cost per child served	\$417	\$417	\$417	\$417	\$417
Total Facility Offsets	\$ 175,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 125,000



Best Starts vs Simplified

- Common elements:
 - Funding per child
 - Requires licensure
 - Requires L&G participation
- Differentiators:
 - Funding prioritization (infant/toddler pre-k)
 - Eligibility of providers receiving other direct funding
 - Level of L&G participation



Per Child Financial Comparison

	Best	Starts	Simplified			
Infant/Toddler - Level 2	\$	100	\$	200		
Infant/Toddler - Level 3	\$	350	\$	200		
Preschool - Level 2	\$	100	\$	50		
Preschool - Level 3	\$	200	•	50		
Low income, any age - Level 3						
adds	\$	50	req	uired		



Best Starts Solution

- Paid out on a per child basis.
- The higher the Learn & Grow level, the more earned per child.
- Provides an additional amount per child for Infants/Toddlers and for low income children and Learn and Grow level 3.

	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25
Best Starts 2.0	\$ 573,000	\$ 1,010,880	\$ 1,736,760	\$ 1,971,360	\$ 2,180,160
Children Served	427	536	680	698	752
Cost per child served	\$ 1,341.92	\$ 1,884.56	\$ 2,552.56	\$ 2,822.68	\$ 2,897.61

 Note: Full Best Starts Model includes additional staffing costs and support to AEYC's HEARTS initiative. Those elements have been pulled out to assure a more accurate comparison with other options.



Simplified Per Child Solution

- This option pays out on a per child basis, but simplifies the calculation. \$200 per child for Infant and Toddlers. \$50 per child for 3 – 5 year olds.
- Must meet Learn & Grow Level 2 to qualify.

	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25
Simplified per Child model	\$ 618,000	\$ 786,000	\$ 954,000	\$ 1,122,000	\$ 1,290,000
Children Served	487	587	687	787	887
Cost per Child	\$ 1,268.99	\$ 1,339.01	\$ 1,388.65	\$ 1,425.67	\$ 1,454.34



Workforce Stabilization

		FY21		FY22		FY23		FY24		FY25	
Form and LIFADTO formalism	Φ.	04.000	Φ.	400,000	Φ	0.47.000	Φ	205.000	Φ	400.000	
Expand HEARTS funding	\$	91,000	Þ	169,000	Þ	247,000	Þ	325,000	Ъ	403,000	
Current funding	\$	180,000	\$	180,000	\$	180,000	\$	180,000	\$	180,000	
# of Provider awards		75		100		125		150		175	
Cost per shild served	c	556.47	Ф	594.55	Ф	621.54	Ф	641.68	Ф	657.27	
Cost per child served	\$	550.47	Φ	594.55	Φ	021.34	Φ	041.00	Φ	657.27	
Training Offsets (pays program											
costs)	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	
Training Grants (27 per year)	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	
	Ψ	33,300	•	23,200	_	33,300	Ψ	22,330	_	23,300	
Total New Funding	\$	191,000	\$	269,000	\$	347,000	\$	425,000	\$	503,000	



Next Steps

- Work with the School District to modify the modular units
- Conduct an RFP for providers interested in operating a new center in the modular units.
- Develop Program Parameters for economic offsets
- Develop metrics for measuring program impacts.



Requested Assembly Action

- Direct staff to preferred model and infrastructure options (Simplified or Best Starts)
- During the budget process, determine amount of funding available for ongoing programs.
- Appropriate funds in FY20 for infrastructure needs.
- Consider appropriating funds in FY20 for workforce development grants.

